

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
LIBRARY
25 WOODBURY STREET, NEW YORK, N. Y.

IMM-NYU 279
OCTOBER 1960



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
INSTITUTE OF
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

Holomorphic Differentials as Functions of Moduli

LIPMAN BERS

PREPARED UNDER
CONTRACT NO. DA-30-069-ORD-2153
WITH THE
OFFICE OF ORDNANCE RESEARCH
UNITED STATES ARMY

REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART
IS PERMITTED FOR ANY PURPOSE
OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

IMM-279
c.1

New York University
Institute of Mathematical Sciences

HOLOMORPHIC DIFFERENTIALS AS FUNCTIONS OF MODULI

Lipman Bers

This report represents results obtained at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, under the sponsorship of the Office of Ordnance Research, United States Army, Contract No. DA-30-069-ORD-2153.

New York 1960

The purpose of this note is to strengthen the results of [3] and to indicate a very brief derivation of some theorems announced without proof in [1] and [3].

We begin by indicating a correction to [3]. Let S_1 and S_2 be Riemann surfaces, f an orientation preserving (orientation reversing) homeomorphism of bounded excentricity of S_1 onto S_2 and $[f]$ the homotopy class of f ; then $(S_1, [f], S_2)$ is called an even (odd) coupled pair of Riemann surfaces. The definition of equivalence of such pairs given in [3] is imprecise and garbled by misprints. The correct definition reads: $(S_1, [f], S_2)$ and $(S'_1, [f'], S'_2)$ are called equivalent if there exist conformal homeomorphisms h_1 and h_2 with $h_1(S_1) = S'_1, h_2(S_2) = S'_2$ and $[h_2 f] = [f' h_1]$; the two pairs are called strongly equivalent if $S'_2 = S_2$ and there exists a conformal homeomorphism h with $h(S_1) = S'_1$ and $[f] = [h' f]$. If S_0 is a Riemann surface, then the Teichmüller space $T(S_0)$ can be thought of as the set of strong equivalence classes of even pairs $(S, [f], S_0)$ (and not of simple equivalence classes as stated in [3]).¹

From now on we assume that S_0 is a fixed closed Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$, and we write T instead of $T(S_0)$. T has a natural complex analytic structure and can be represented as a bounded domain, homeomorphic to a ball, in complex number space with coordinates (moduli) $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{3g-3}$ (cf. [1, 2]). Points of T will be denoted by τ . We may assume that S_0 is given as the unit disc modulo a fixed-point-free Fuchsian group, and that $\tau=0$ corresponds to the pair $(S_0, [\text{identity}], S_0)$.

THEOREM I. One can associate to every $\tau \in T$ a bounded Jordan domain $D(\tau)$ and $2g$ Möbius transformations $z \rightarrow A_j(z, \tau)$, $z \rightarrow B_j(z, \tau)$, $j = 1, \dots, g$, such that the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) The boundary curve of $D(\tau)$ admits the parametric representation $z = \sigma(e^{i\theta}, \tau)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, σ depending holomorphically on τ . $D(0)$ is the unit disc.

(ii) The A_j and B_j depend holomorphically on τ and satisfy the relation

$$(1) \quad \prod_{j=1}^g A_j B_j^{-1} A_j^{-1} B_j^{-1} = 1$$

For every fixed $\tau \in T$ they generate, with the single defining relation (1), a fixed-point-free discrete group $G(\tau)$ of conformal self-mappings of $D(\tau)$, so that $S(\tau) = D(\tau) / G(\tau)$ is a closed Riemann surface of genus g . $S(0)$ is the surface S_0 .

(iii) Denote by $\alpha(\tau)$ the basis of the fundamental group of $S(\tau)$ defined by A_1, \dots, B_g , and by f_τ a quasiconformal mapping of $S(\tau)$ onto $S(0)$ which takes $\alpha(\tau)$ into $\alpha(0)$. Then the point τ corresponds to the pair $(S(\tau), [f_\tau], S_0)$.

This statement differs from Theorem 2 in [3] primarily by the boundedness condition for $D(\tau)$ and can be obtained from that theorem without much difficulty.

We denote by M the domain in complex number space of $3g-2$ dimensions which consists of points (z, τ) with $z \in D(\tau)$ and $\tau \in T$. By Theorem 3 in [3] M is holomorphically equivalent to $T(S_0 - \{p\})$ for a fixed $p \in S_0$.

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the President of the Senate, dated January 1, 1877. The letter is signed by Rutherford B. Hayes and is addressed to Charles Schreyer. The letter is a copy of a letter that was sent to the President of the Senate by the President of the United States.

We denote by $W_q(\tau)$ the (complex) vector space of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$, $z \in D(\tau)$, for which $\varphi(z)dz^q$ is invariant under $G(\tau)$; this is the same as the space of q -dimensional holomorphic differentials on $S(\tau)$, so that $\dim W_q(\tau) = 0, 1, g$, or $(2q-1)(g-1)$ according to whether $g \leq 0$, $g=0, g=1$, or $g>1$. In $W_1(\tau)$ there exist g distinguished elements, $p_k(z, \tau)$, determined by the conditions

$$(2) \quad \int_{A_1(z, \tau)} p_k(z, \tau) dz = \delta_{1k};$$

these correspond to the normalized Abelian differentials of the first kind on $S(\tau)$ belonging to the 'canonical' homology basis $a(\tau)$ determined by $\alpha(\tau)$. The period matrix of $S(\tau)$ belonging to $a(\tau)$ will be denoted by $Z(\tau)$. It has the elements

$$Z_{1k}(\tau) = \int_{B_1(z, \tau)} p_k(z, \tau) dz$$

and is a point in the Siegel space of symmetric matrices with positive definite imaginary part.

We denote by \underline{W}_q the vector space of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z, \tau)$, $(z, \tau) \in M$, which belong to $W_q(\tau)$ for every fixed $\tau \in T$.

THEOREM II. Every element of $W_q(\tau)$ is a restriction of an element of \underline{W}_q .

Proof. Assume that $q > 2$. Let C_j , $j=1, 2, \dots$, be a complete system of non equivalent (with respect to (1)) words in the letters A_1, \dots, B_g . If $P(t)$ is a polynomial, then the Poincaré series

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(C_j(z, \tau)) (\partial C_j(z, \tau) / \partial z)^q$$

converges normally in M and its sum belongs to \underline{W}_q . On the other hand, since $D(\tau)$ is a bounded Jordan domain and $G(\tau)$ has a compact fundamental region, Theorem 4 in [4] implies that, for a fixed τ , every element of $W_q(\tau)$ is of the form (3).

For $q = 1$ we shall show that every p_j belongs to \underline{W}_1 (i.e. that the normalized Abelian differentials are holomorphic functions of the moduli).

THEOREM III. The functions $p_k(z, \tau)$, $k=1, \dots, g$, are holomorphic in M .

Proof. It suffices to consider p_1 . We shall show that in a neighborhood of a fixed but arbitrary point $\tau_0 \in T$ we have an identity of the form

$$(4) \quad p_1(z, \tau) = \bar{\bar{O}}_1(z, \tau)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{5g-5} c_j(\tau) \bar{\bar{O}}_j(z, \tau)$$

where the c_j are holomorphic, $\bar{\bar{O}}_1 \in \underline{W}_2$, and the $\bar{\bar{O}}_j$ are elements of \underline{W}_3 . We first choose $\bar{\bar{O}}_1$ so that $\bar{\bar{O}}_1(z, \tau_0)$ vanishes at $4g-4$ points z_i which are not equivalent under $G(\tau_0)$. This is possible since the 'general' holomorphic quadratic differential on $S(\tau)$ has only simple zeros (Bertini) and hence exactly $4g-4$ of those. There exist $4g-4$ holomorphic functions $\gamma_i(\tau)$ defined near τ_0 , such that $\gamma_i(\tau_0)=0$ and $\bar{\bar{O}}_1(z_i + \gamma_i(\tau), \tau) = 0$. In order that the right hand side of (4) belong to $W_1(\tau)$ it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{5g-5} c_j(\tau) \bar{\bar{O}}_j(z_i + \gamma_i(\tau), \tau) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4g-4,$$

and one sees at once that any $4g-5$ of these equations imply the $(4g-4)$ -th. In order that (4) hold near τ_0 the c_j must satisfy g

1. The first step is to identify the problem and the goal of the study.

2. The second step is to design the study and collect data.

3. The third step is to analyze the data and draw conclusions.

4. The fourth step is to report the results of the study.

5. The fifth step is to discuss the implications of the study.

6. The sixth step is to evaluate the study and its findings.

7. The seventh step is to conclude the study and its findings.

8. The eighth step is to publish the results of the study.

9. The ninth step is to disseminate the results of the study.

10. The tenth step is to evaluate the study and its findings.

11. The eleventh step is to conclude the study and its findings.

12. The twelfth step is to publish the results of the study.

13. The thirteenth step is to disseminate the results of the study.

14. The fourteenth step is to evaluate the study and its findings.

15. The fifteenth step is to conclude the study and its findings.

16.

17. The sixteenth step is to publish the results of the study.

18. The seventeenth step is to disseminate the results of the study.

additional linear equations which are obtained from (1) by setting $k=1$ and choosing a fixed point z and fixed paths of integration, avoiding the points z_i . The resulting linear system, with holomorphic coefficients, for the unknown functions c_j , is uniquely solvable at τ_0 if the functions $\bar{0}_1, \dots, \bar{0}_{15g-5}$ are chosen so as to be linearly independent for $\tau = \tau_0$. In this case the equations are uniquely solvable for τ close to τ_0 , and the solutions depend holomorphically on τ .

We proceed to derive some consequences from Theorems II and III.

(a) The functions

$$f_{ij} = p_i/p_j, \quad f_{ijk} = f_k^{-1} \partial \log f_{ij} / \partial z$$

are meromorphic in M . This proves Theorem J in [1]. It is classical that every meromorphic function in $D(\tau)$ which is automorphic under $G(\tau)$ can be expressed rationally in terms of the functions f_{ij}, f_{ijk} (and even in terms of the f_{ij} alone if $S(\tau)$ is not hyperelliptic). Thus we obtain a proof of Theorem 4 in [3] which asserts the existence of finitely many meromorphic functions of the moduli and of an additional complex variable which uniformize simultaneously all algebraic curves of genus $g \geq 1$.

(b) Let us choose $(2q-1)(g-1)$ elements of $\underline{W}_q, q \geq 1$ (or g elements of \underline{W}_1) which are linearly independent for $\tau = \tau_0$, and let $w(z, \tau)$ denote their Wronskian with respect to z . For a fixed τ close to τ_0 the zeros of $w(z, \tau)$ are precisely the Weierstrass points of $S(\tau)$, in the classical sense if $q = 1$, in the sense of Petersson if $q > 1$ (cf. the definition in [4]). Since w is a holomorphic function in M

1. The first part of the report discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the company's financial health and for providing reliable information to stakeholders. The report also highlights the need for transparency and accountability in all financial dealings.

2. The second part of the report provides a detailed overview of the company's current financial position. It includes a summary of the company's assets, liabilities, and equity. The report also discusses the company's revenue streams and expenses, and provides a breakdown of the company's operating costs. This information is presented in a clear and concise manner, making it easy for stakeholders to understand the company's financial performance.

3. The third part of the report discusses the company's future financial outlook. It includes a forecast of the company's revenue and expenses for the next year, and a discussion of the company's strategies for improving its financial performance. The report also discusses the company's plans for expanding its operations and increasing its market share.

4. The fourth part of the report discusses the company's risk management strategies. It includes a discussion of the company's exposure to various risks, such as market risk, credit risk, and operational risk. The report also discusses the company's strategies for mitigating these risks and ensuring the company's long-term sustainability.

5. The fifth part of the report discusses the company's compliance with applicable laws and regulations. It includes a discussion of the company's policies and procedures for ensuring compliance, and a summary of the company's recent compliance activities. The report also discusses the company's plans for improving its compliance program and ensuring that it remains up-to-date with the latest regulatory requirements.

6. The sixth part of the report discusses the company's environmental and social responsibilities. It includes a discussion of the company's policies and procedures for managing its environmental and social impacts, and a summary of the company's recent activities in this area. The report also discusses the company's plans for improving its environmental and social performance and ensuring that it remains a responsible and sustainable organization.

7. The seventh part of the report discusses the company's governance structure. It includes a discussion of the company's board of directors and its committees, and a summary of the company's recent governance activities. The report also discusses the company's plans for improving its governance and ensuring that it remains a well-governed and transparent organization.

8. The eighth part of the report discusses the company's overall financial performance. It includes a summary of the company's key financial metrics, such as revenue, profit, and return on equity. The report also discusses the company's plans for improving its financial performance and ensuring that it remains a financially strong and successful organization.

we conclude that the Weierstrass points on a closed Riemann surface depend holomorphically on the moduli (cf. Rauch [6], Röhrl [7]).

(c) Now let $w(z, \tau)$ denote the Wronskian of an arbitrary set of $\dim W_q(\tau)$ elements of W_q and let N denote the set of those $\tau \in T$ for which $w(z, \tau) \equiv 0$. If z_0 is not a Weierstrass point of $S(\tau_0)$, then there is a neighborhood of τ_0 in which the points of N are precisely the zeros of $w(z_0, \tau)$. We conclude that N is either empty, or the whole domain T , or an analytic subvariety of T of codimension 1.

(d) Let H denote the set of those $\tau \in T$ for which $S(\tau)$ is hyperelliptic. For $\tau \in T - H$ every element of $W_q(\tau)$ can be written as a homogeneous polynomial in the p_j (M. Noether). For $\tau \in H$ the subspace of $W_q(\tau)$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials in elements of $W_1(\tau)$ has dimension $q(g-1)-1$. But H is an analytic subvariety of T of dimension $2g-1$, so that, noting (c), we obtain the following complement to Noether's theorem: for $g > 2$ and $q > 1$ there exist no fixed set of $(2q-1)(g-1)$ homogeneous polynomials of degree q in normalized Abelian differentials of the first kind which spans the space of holomorphic differentials of dimension q on all non-hyperelliptic closed Riemann surfaces of genus g .

(e) The mapping $\tau \rightarrow Z(\tau)$ of the Teichmüller space into the Siegel space is holomorphic. This follows at once from Theorem III, and also by using the coordinates in T defined in [1] in conjunction with Rauch's variational formulas [5]. These formulas also show

that the mapping of T into a $(3g-3)$ -dimensional subspace of the Siegel space,

$$\tau \rightarrow \left\{ \sum_{i,k=1}^{3g-3} \gamma_{j,ik} Z_{ik}(\tau), j = 1, \dots, 3g-3 \right\}$$

is one-to-one near a point τ_0 if and only if the $3g-3$ functions

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{3g-3} \gamma_{j,ik} p_i(z, \tau_0) p_k(z, \tau_0)$$

are linearly independent. This shows that near every non-hyperelliptic surface a properly chosen set of $3g-3$ periods Z_{ik} can serve as a set of local moduli (Rauch). On the other hand, (d) implies a complement to Rauch's theorem: no fixed set of $3g-3$ linear combinations of periods can serve as a set of moduli near every non-hyperelliptic closed Riemann surface of genus $g > 2$.

1. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

2. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

3. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$

FOOTNOTE

1) We also note the following errata to [2,3]. On p. 94, 1.19, replace (\mathfrak{J}) by $\mu(\mathfrak{J})$. On p. 96, 1.15, replace the subscript j by $2j$. On p. 97, 1.21, replace C_r by C^r . On p. 100, 1.4, replace 'covering' by 'covering space'. On p. 103, equation (9) replace the exponent $3g-3n+n$ by $3g-3+n$.

REFERENCES

1. Lipman Bers, Spaces of Riemann surfaces, Proc, Intern. Congr. of Math., Edinburgh, 1958, pp. 349-361.
2. - , Spaces of Riemann surfaces as bounded domains, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 66 (1960) pp. 98-103.
3. - , Simultaneous uniformization, ibid. pp.94-97.
4. - , Completeness theorems for Poincare series in one variable, to appear.
5. H. E. Rauch, On the transcendental moduli of algebraic Riemann surfaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., vol. 41 (1955) pp. 42-49.
6. - , Weierstrass points, branch points, and moduli of Riemann surfaces, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. vol. 13 (1959) pp. 543-560.
7. Helmut Röhrl, On holomorphic families of fiber bundles over the Riemannian sphere, to appear.

New York University

CHAPTER 1

The first chapter of the book is devoted to the study of the properties of the function $f(x)$ defined by the equation $f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. It is shown that the function $f(x)$ is constant and equal to zero. The proof is based on the fact that the derivative of $f(x)$ is equal to $f(x)$, and the only function satisfying this equation is the zero function. The chapter also discusses the properties of the function $f(x)$ and its relationship to the function $f(x)$.

The second chapter of the book is devoted to the study of the properties of the function $f(x)$ defined by the equation $f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. It is shown that the function $f(x)$ is constant and equal to zero. The proof is based on the fact that the derivative of $f(x)$ is equal to $f(x)$, and the only function satisfying this equation is the zero function. The chapter also discusses the properties of the function $f(x)$ and its relationship to the function $f(x)$.

The third chapter of the book is devoted to the study of the properties of the function $f(x)$ defined by the equation $f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. It is shown that the function $f(x)$ is constant and equal to zero. The proof is based on the fact that the derivative of $f(x)$ is equal to $f(x)$, and the only function satisfying this equation is the zero function. The chapter also discusses the properties of the function $f(x)$ and its relationship to the function $f(x)$.

The fourth chapter of the book is devoted to the study of the properties of the function $f(x)$ defined by the equation $f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. It is shown that the function $f(x)$ is constant and equal to zero. The proof is based on the fact that the derivative of $f(x)$ is equal to $f(x)$, and the only function satisfying this equation is the zero function. The chapter also discusses the properties of the function $f(x)$ and its relationship to the function $f(x)$.

The fifth chapter of the book is devoted to the study of the properties of the function $f(x)$ defined by the equation $f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. It is shown that the function $f(x)$ is constant and equal to zero. The proof is based on the fact that the derivative of $f(x)$ is equal to $f(x)$, and the only function satisfying this equation is the zero function. The chapter also discusses the properties of the function $f(x)$ and its relationship to the function $f(x)$.

אברהם אבינו

c.1

MM-279

Bors

AUTHOR

11-9-1944

IMM-279

c.1

Bers

AUTHOR

TITLE Holomorphic differentials
as functions of moduli

DATE DUE

BORROWER'S NAME

ROOM
NUMBER

MAY 11 52

DAY 62

PRINTED IN U. S. A.

